June 30, 1943

## FILED

Fonorable rville S. Traylor
Commissioner of Labor
Jefferson City, Missouri
Dear Sir:
Your request foran opinion, dated June 16, 1943, has been referred to the writer for answer. Your request concerns the construction and application of a statute, Section 10175, Missouri, K. S., 1939, which provides as follows:
"The employees of the operators of all manufactories, including plate-glass manufactories, operated within this state shall be regularly paid in full of all wages due them at least once in every fifteen days, in lawful money, and at no pay day shall there be wi thheld from the earnings of any employee any sum to exceed the amount due hire for his labor for five days next preceding any such pay day. Any such operator who falls and refuses to pay his employees, their agents, assigns or an one duly authorized to collect such wages, as in this section provided, shall become immediately liable to any such employee, his agents or assigns for an amount double the sum due such employee at the time of such fallure to pay the wages due, to be recovered by civil action in any court of competent jurisdicti $n$ within this state, and no employee, within the meaning of this section, shall be deemed to have waived any right accruing to him under this section by any contract he may make contrary to the provisions hereof."

The writer assumes that by your request you desire an analysis of this section and an example or illustration of how it would apply to the facts you state in your request.

The first sentence of said section reads:
> "The employees of the operators of all manufactories, including plate-glass manufactories, operated within this state shall be regularly paid in full of all wages due them at least once in evory Pifteen days, in lawful money, and at no pay day shall there be withheld from the earnings of any employee any sum to exceed the amount due him for his labor for five days next preceding any such pay day."

The first clause of this sentence merely means that once every fifteen deys the employer shall pay tho employee all the money due to said employee for work performed, prior to the day of payment, but even then five days eamings may be withheld $b$ the employer-manufacturer. The last clause of the above quoted sentence seems to have been the cause of difficulty. A probable reason of that clause is that in the past manufacturers had withheld certain amounts to cover breakage or penalties of one nature or another. Apparently, the withholdings of salaries by the manufac turer--employer were of such an amount as to burden the employee, so the Legislature demed it advisable to limit such withholdings, possibly as an exercise of its police power, and consonant with the spirit of the entire section. Te last clause in no way establishes the time limit upon what day the salary is to be paid. It merely limits the amount of wages due the employee that the manufacturer--employer may withhold, at any pay day

You state in your request:
"Several large companies take seven or eight days after the close of thelr work week to figure up the amount due and to draw up the checks. They have advised us that they ay once a week, and if it is necessary to pay within the Ifve day period, considerable extra help would be required."

Applying Section 10175 to the situation quoted from your letter above, it is the opinion of this office that the Section works as follows. The companies of wich you speak pay every week, but take seven or elght days to draw up the checks. Let us apply the Section and the facts you state to the past month of June for illustration. Let us assume that the company pays on Saturday . "any other day would be the samed, that would be the dates of the 5 th, 12 th, 19 th, and 26 th. If on the 12 th they pay for the week's work completed prior to the 12th, in other words,
for work done from the 6th of June to the l2th of June, but take 8 days to draw up the checks the company would actually be paying on the 20th of June, for the work done from the 6 th to the 12th of June. The time elapsed from June 6th to June 20th is fourteen days, clearly within the statutory limit of fifteen days. The five day period of which you speak is merely the sum that may be withheld by the employer--manufacturer from the earnings of the employee on the 20th of June. For example, if the omployee earns two dollars a day, on June the 20th, when payment of wages is made, not more than ten dollars ( $\$ 1.00$ ) may be withheld from his earnings of fourteen dollars ( $\$ 14.00$ ), assuming the employee works a seven day week. In other words, the five day period of which the statute speaks is not an attempt to set the date of payment but is a limitation on the amount the employer--manufacturer may withhold on any pay day.

In your letter you write:

> "The employers in one company now pay on Friday of each week for work performed subsequently to the preceding Sundaye They wish to change their pay day to the following Monday, as their experienc in other states shows that paying on the following Monday eliminates up to 75\% of the absent eism. Mois, however, would mean that they would be withholding six or seven days pay, as their work week begins on Monday. The federal regulations require that they pay ouble time for the seventh consecutive day which, in their particular case is Sunday, and their experience shows that Sunday is the hardest day for them to have a full working force. The changing of the beginning of their work week to Wodnesday in order to comply with Section lolif on the "fifth day", therefore, would not help solve their problem of absenteeism on Sunday.

There the company pays on Friday, "for work performed subsequently to the preceding Sunday." In other words, they pay on June 25th for work done between the 21st and 25 th. That is within the fifteen day limit for payment provided by Section 10175. If said company wishes to pay on the following Monday, by our example June 28th, such payment would still be within the fifteen day period set up by statute.
(1) Once every fifteen days the company must pay the employee all wages due him whether earned during the fifteen days prior to the date of ayment or before. (2) The company at the date of payment may withhold, at any pay day, five days wages, or less. (3) The five day period of which the statute speaks is merely a limitation on the amount of waces that may be withheld on any pay day, and in no way should be construed as establishing a time limit for a payment of wages.

Respectfully submitted,

WILIJAM C. BLAIR
Assistant Attorney-General
AP R ROVED:

ROY MCKITTRICK
Attorney-General
WCB:DG

