STATE BOARD OF la Fees collected by Board payable to Director of COSMETOLOGY: Revenue;
2. No prohibition against employee of Board discharging duties of an inspector and secretary at the same time if, in Board's discretion, action is conducive to efficient operation.


- An officer of this state may authorize an increase in salary to an employee different from that listed in the official Manual.
- Board may not grant bonuses to employees.
- Employees of Board may travel beyond State and receive reimbursements therefor, if such expense is incurred in discharge of official duties, in matter in which government has an interest, and within appropriation provided for that
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purpose.
Miss Edna Marie Pray
President, Missouri State
Board of Cosmetology
420 West Ilth Streat
Kansas City, Missouri
Dear Miss Pray:
Reference is made to your request for an official opinion which request reads in part as follows:
"Should the checks and money orders sent to Jefferson City from shop owners, School owners; operators, and instructors be made payable, to. Director of Revenue-Jofferson alty or made payable to - -Division of Cosmetology - Jefferson Gity, Mo.
"Would like on official optnion, if any of our office employees are entitled to hold two positions in our office to wit - Pirst, as our secretary and also as Inspector calling on all the schoola in the state of Missouri, with unlinited expense account. Thus making $1 t$ necessery to hire another girl in the office.
"I wish to know, Oan The Board Members, Jegaliy raise the office seoretary t salary of three hundred and seventy dollars per month, which is the 11 titrg In the Blue Book. ${ }^{\text {E The Board also }}$ wishes to know if They cen ollow Bonus to Office employeer?

UThe Boapd utahes an offlelal opinion, as to whether or not the Secretery of dur office cen go to sueh placos as Mami, Flowida, Ohioago, Now Yorls, Kentucky and Oalifornia, ebe. with all expenses pald by The state of Mo."

Your firgt inquiry 1s whether exemination and ennual
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registration fees as imposed by Chapter 329, ASMO 1949, should be made payable to the "Division of Cosmetology" or to the "Director of Revenue, Jefferson City."

Chapter 320, RSMO 1949, imposes certain exemination and annual registration fees upon persons desiring to engage in the occupation of hairdresser, cosmetologist or manicurist or who conduct a hairdressing, cosmetologist or manicurist establishment, shop or school. Section 329.060, relating specifically to the exemination fees required of an applicant for reglatration provides as follows:
"1. Every person desiring to practice any of the occupations provided for in this chapter shall file with the state board of cosmetology a written application under oath on a form prescribed and supplied by gaid board and shall submit proof of the required age, educational qualifications and of good moral character together with a fee of ten dollars payable to the direotor of revenue.
"2. Opon the flling of such application and the payment of the examination fee of ten dollars, the state board of cosmetology may issue to said applicant a temporary certificate of registration for a definite period of time but not bejond the next regular examination of applicants for the practicing of the occupations In thi chapter provided; and said person receiving said temporary certificate shall be entitled to praotice the occupations herein designated until the expixation of said temporary certificate, and after the expiration of $s$ aid temporary certificate, any person continuing to practice the business as herein defined shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punished as in this chapter provided."

Section 329.240 , RSNO 1949 , relating to all fees provided for in Chapter 329 provides as followst
"AD1 fees provided for in this chaptere shall be
payable to the arector of revenue who shali keep
a record of the account ghowing the total payments
recelved and shall Imediately thereafter deposit
the same with the state treasurer in a fund to be
known as the istate Board of Cosmetology Fund which
is hereby ereated and established. All the aalaries
and expenses for the operation of ald board shall be
appropriated and paid from said fund." (Emphasis ours.)
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Under the two foregoing quoted statutory provisions, we belleve It is quite apparent that all fees collected should be made payable to the Director of Revenue rather than to the Board of Cosmetology.

You next inquire whether a secretary to the Board, which we understand to mean office secretary rather than the Secretary to the Board may also hold the position and discharge the duties of an inspector, with an unlimited expense account.

We know of no rule of law which would prohibit a public employee as distinguished from an officer from discharging the duties of several positions, nor do we find any statutory prohibition against the same person acting as office secretary for the state Board of Cosmetology and as an inspector for said Board. We direct your attention to Section 329.230 . RSMO 1949 relating to the authority of the Board to employ office persomel and inspeotors. Said section provides as follows:
"The board shall elect one of its members president, one tice-president and one secretary, and shall have power to employ and remove such office employees and inspectors as may be neccessary for the efficient operation of the board, Within the limitations of its appropmation, and to formulate rules and regulations governing its actions; provided, however, the board shall create no expense exceeding the sum recelved from time to time as fees as provided by law."

It is noted that the Board is greanted the authority to employ and romove such office employeos and inspectors as may be necossary for the officient operation of the Board, subject to the qualification that such employment be within the appropriations for such purpose. In view of this provision we are of the opinion that it is within the discrettion of the Board as to whether a person shall be employed in a dual capacity, such discretion to be goverined by the efficleney in operations promoted by the Boardis action. The employees appointied as authorized by section 329.230 would of course be subject to the control and supervision of the Board.

You next inquire whether the Board can inerease an employee's salary above that IIsted in the Blue Book" and whether the Board can grant bonuees to office employeest Section 11.030, xelating to the publishing of salaries of state employees in the official manual (the Blue Book) provides as follows:

> "There shall be published in said manual the name, salary and post office address, and previous occupation, including street and number, of every officer and employee, of this state, and it shall be unlawful for any officer of this state to pay or authorize the payment of a selary to any appointee or employee unless he shell first file with the secretary of state, for publication in the manual, the name, salary, post ofifice address and previous occupation of suoh employee."

This section was first enacted in Laws 1923, page 294, Section 2, and did not impose the requirement that the informetion filed with the secretary of state should include the salary of the officer or employes. The Amendatory Act of 1941 imposed a requirement that the salary of said office or employee should also be included in the information filed.

It is a cardinal rule of statutory construction that effect should be given to the intention of the Legislature by adopting a construction which will hamonize the context and promote the apparent objects of the Logislature. State V. Ball, 171 S.W. 2d. 787.

Section 11.020, RSMO 1949, provides that the Secretary of State shall biennially as soon as practicable after the organization of each General Assembly prepare and publish a Missouri Manual.

Section 12.030, RSMO 1949, provides that there shall be published in said Menual the name, salary, post office address, inoluding street, number and previous cocupation of every officer and employee of the state. In view of the purpose of the filing of the aforementioned information (thatis, publication) wo do not think that it is unlawful to incesase the salary of an employee who has once been listed in the "Blue Book" prior to fliling such information with the Secretary of state, provided however, thet such information should be flled with the secrebary of State at such time as he may direct prior to the next publication of said Manual.

In regard to the payment of bonuses to employees we direct your attention to section 39 of Article III of the Constitution of Missouri which provides, in part, as follows:
\#he general assembly shall not have power:
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> "To grant or to authorize any county or municipal authority to grant any extra compensation, fee or allowance to a public officer, agent, servant or contractor after service has been rendered or a contract has. been entered into and performed in whole or in party"

The Constitutional provision prohibits the General Assembly from granting extra compensation, fees or allowances to a public officer, agent or servant after service has been rendered. Likewise, a government agency which derives its power and authority from the Constitution and laws of this state would be prohibited from granting extra compensation in the form of bonuses to public officers or servants after the service has been rendered.

Lastly, you inquire as to whether the offlce secretary to the state Board of Cosmetology is authorized to travel outside the state, the expenses of such travel to be borne by the state of Missouri. Section 33.090, authorizes and empowers the state Comptroller to promalgate rules and regulations governing the inourring and payment of reasonable and necessary travel and subsistence expenses actually incurred in behalf of the state. Pursuant to such authority the state Gomptroller has adopted the following rule currently on file:
"Rule 2. Traveling expenses which will be reLmbursed are confined to those expenses essential to the transacting of official business of the State of Missourit

We also note the following rule stated in 67 C.J.s. officers, Section 91, page 329:
"年 \#However, in order to justify indemalication of public officer for an expense incurred in the discharge of his official duties, the officer must have aeted in good faith, in the discharge of a duty imposed or authorized by law, and in a matter in which the government has an interest."

No applicable mule of law or statutory provision or regulation is found which would limit the incurring of expenses for travel and subsiatence to travel within the state and therefore we must conclude that a public official or omployee may be relmbursed for expenses reasonably incurred in travel beyond the gtate in the discharge of official duties and in matters which the goverment has an interest. What has been said in relation to travel beyond the atate would $11 k e w i s e$ be applicable to an employee traveling
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within the state in the discharge of official duties.

## CONCLUSION

Therefore, it is the opinion of the office that:

1. All fees collected by the State Board of Cosmetology should be made payable to the Director of Revenue:
2. There is no prohibition against an employee of the state Board of Cosmetology discharging the duties of an inspector and secretary at one and the some time if, in the Boardr s discretion, such action is conducive to efficient operation
3. An offlcer of this state may authorize an increase in salary to an employee different from that listed in the official manual.
4. The State Board of Cosmetology may not grant bonuses to employees:
5. Employees of the Board may travel beyond the state and receive reimbursement therefor, if such expense is incurred in the discharge of official duties, in a matter in which the government has an interest, and within the appropriation provided for that purpose.

The foregoing opinion, which I hereby approve, was prepared by my assistant, Mr. Donal D. Guffey.

Yours vexy truly,

John M. Dalton Abtorney General

