ELECTIONS: VILLAGES: Board of trustees may determine qualifications, election and returns of members.

April 13, 1940.

Mr. A. B. Ideson
Member Board of Trustees Village of Oakland, Missouri


Dear Sir:
We arc in receipt of your letter of April 9th, wherein you state as follows:
"On Tuesday, April 2, there was an alection held in the Village of Oakland for five trustees for the overning Board of that Village.
"There were eight names on the election ballot. After tie e election, it was discovered that one of the live members receiving the largest number of votes was not qualified to hold office, as he had not been a resident of the Village for a year, as required by the statutes.
"Last ni ht at a meeting of the old Board, which was to have ended its term, a petition was presented by citizens asking this man's disqualification. The man committed that he had lived in the Village less than a year and pleaded complete ignorance of the fact that he was not qualified.
"The question then arose as to whether or not he qualified candidate receiving the next lar est number of votes should be seated. An attorney present would not give us an opinion and i occurred to me that you might be kind enough to straighten us out on the legal points involved.

# "If you will be ood enough to give us your opinion, at your earliest convenience, and straichten us out in this matter, we will appreciate it very much indeed." 

Section 7092 R. S. Mo. 1929, provides that the corporate powers of every village are vested in a board of trustees consisting of five members:
"The cor orete powers and duties of every village so incorporeted shell be vested in a board of trustees, to consist of five members, unless such town shall contein more than twentyfive hundred inhabitants, in which cese such board shall consist of nine members; the first board of trustees shall be appointed by the county c urt et the time of declerine such town incor oreted, who shall continue in office until their successors are elected and quelified; and such succes ors shall be chosen by the qualified electors esidig in such town on the first Tuesday of April in every yeer in the manner hereinafter provided."

Section 7093 R. S. Mo. 1929, provides thet no person shall be a trustee who has not resided therein for one whole yeer next preceding. It is furtier proviced thet every trustee holds his office for a term of one $y$-ar end until a success $r$ is elected and qualified.
"No person shall be a trustee who shall not have attained the age of twenty-one years; who shall not be a male citizen of the united States; who shall not be an inhabitent $f$ the town at tie time of his election, and reside therein for one whole yeer next preceding; who shell not be a householder within the limits of such town; and ever trustee shall hold his office for the term of one $y$ er end until a successor is elected and quelified."

You state thet after the election it was discovered that one of the five members receiving the largest number of votes was not gualified to hold of ifce as he had not been a resident of the village for one year.

Mechem on Public Officers Section 130 , page 65 declares that:
" * * * the failure of the newly elected or appointed officer to qualify by law would not, where by lew his predecessor held over until his successor was elected snd qualified, create a vacancy * * * "

See also Langston v. Hovell County $336 \mathrm{Mo} .444,79$ S. W. (2d) 99 1. c. 102.

There being no vacancy (Section 7135 R. S.
MO. 1929) in the board, and the trustees serving until their successors were duly elected and qualified (section 7093 F. S. Mo. 1929), the question would then arise which of the five trustees was to continue in office inasmuch s Section 7141 T. S. 1929, provides that the duly qualified persons receiving the highest number of votes shall be declared duly elected trustee:
"The election shall be kept open from seven o'clock in the forenoon until six o'clock in the efternoon. After the election is closed, the judges shall examine the bellots, and the duly qualified persons who shell have received the hi hest number of votes shall be declared duly eiected trustees."

The judges of election could only declare the duly "qualiried" persons receivine $t$ hichest number of votes as duly elceted trustees. Does their mistake, although no fiult of their own, control the results of the election?
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20 C. J. Section 262 page 204 provides that:
"Inasmuch as he duties of the canvassingboards, except as to determining the genuine-ness of the returns, are generally regardedas merely ministerial, the omissions or mis-takes of such boards can have no controllinginfluence on the election."
Section 7096 R. S. lio. 1929, provides that:
"The board of trustees shell judge of
the qualifications, elections and returns oftheir own members, and determine contestedelections; * * "
From the for going we cre of the opinion thatthe board of trustees having detemined $t$ at one of thefive members receiving the lergest number of votes wesnot qualified, the board mey then select the qualifiedcandidate receiving the next largest number of votesas one of tho five duly elected trustees.Respectfull: submitted,
MAX ASSERAN
Assistent Attorney General.
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COVELL R. HWITT
(Actinc) Attorney General
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